

1
2
3
4
5
6
7


8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 Kathleen Espinosa, et al., No. C 06-4686 JSW (JL)
12 Plaintiffs,
13 v. ORDER
14 City and County of San Francisco, et al.,
15 Defendants.

16 _____/ The Court received the parties' joint statement regarding their discovery dispute,
17 filed at Docket Number 36. The Court finds that the statement is premature, that the
18 requests discussed are not yet due, and further that the dispute is inappropriate for
19 resolution based on a joint statement. Accordingly, either party may file a formal noticed
20 motion with regard to the matters discussed in this statement on or after March 24, 2008.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: March 11, 2008

23 _____/ 
24 JAMES LARSON
25 Chief Magistrate Judge

26 G:\JLALL\CHAMBERS\CASES\CIV-REF\06-4686\Order-36.wpd
27
28